arXiv:1401.1883v1 [math.CO] 9 Jan 2014

NORMAL EDGE-TRANSITIVE CAYLEY GRAPHS OF FROBENIUS GROUPS

BRIAN P. CORR AND CHERYL E. PRAEGER

Abstract. A Cayley Graph for a group G is called normal edge-transitive if it admits an edge-transitive action of some subgroup of the Holomorph of G (the normaliser of a regular copy of G in $\mathrm{Sym}(G)$). We complete the classification of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of order a product of two primes by dealing with Cayley graphs for Frobenius groups of such orders. We determine the automorphism groups of these graphs, proving in particular that there is a unique vertex-primitive example, namely the flag graph of the Fano plane.

1. Introduction

Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs were identified by the second author [12] in 1999 as a family of central importance for understanding Cayley graphs in general. Such graphs have a subgroup of automorphisms which is transitive on edges and which normalises a copy of the group used to construct the Cayley graph. Moreover each normal edge-transitive Cayley graph was shown to have, as a 'normal quotient', a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for a characteristically simple group. This raised the question of reconstructing normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs from a given normal quotient. In this paper we answer the question in the smallest case, where the normal quotient has prime order q and the group G of interest has order pq, where p also is prime.

The case of abelian groups G was treated in the MSc thesis of Houlis [8], and in this paper we complete the nonabelian case. That is to say, we classify normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for Frobenius groups of order a product of two primes, and investigate the automorphism groups of these graphs.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G_{pq} be a Frobenius group of order pq, where p and q are primes and $q < p$, and let Γ be a connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G_{pq} and $Y = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) $\Gamma \cong C_q[\overline{K_p}]$, *with* $Y \cong S_p \,\mathrm{wr}\, D_{2q}$ *if q is odd and* $Y \cong S_p \,\mathrm{wr}\, S_2$ *if $q = 2$;*
(ii) $\Gamma \cong K_p \times C_q$, *with* $Y \cong S_p \times D_{2q}$ *if q is odd; or* $K_p \times K_2$ *with* $Y = S_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ *if $q = 2$; or*
(iii) $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ *as defined in Construction 2, for some proper divisor ℓ of $p-1$ with $\ell > 1$, and either $(q, i) = (2, 1)$ or $1 \le i \le (q-1)/2$, and*

$$Y \cong \begin{cases} G_{pq}.\mathbb{Z}_\ell & \text{when } q = 2 \text{ or } q \nmid \ell, \\ G_{pq}.\mathbb{Z}_\ell.\mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{when } q \ge 3,\ q \mid \ell, \end{cases}$$

or Y is one of the four exceptions listed in Table 1.



FIGURE 1. The Graph $\Gamma(55, 2, 1)$ as in Construction 1. For a second example with the same number of vertices and valency, see Fig. 3.2.

Γ	$\mathrm{val}\,\Gamma$	$\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$	Reference
$\Gamma(21, 2, 1)$	4	$\mathrm{PGL}(3, 2).\mathbb{Z}_2$	Section 2.4, Figure 2, Proposition 4.3
$\Gamma(22, 5, 1)$	5	$\mathrm{PGL}(2, 11).\mathbb{Z}_2$	Section 2.5
$\Gamma(14, 3, 1)$	3	$\mathrm{PGL}(3, 2).\mathbb{Z}_2$	Section 2.5
$\Gamma(146, 9, 1)$	9	$\mathrm{PGL}(3, 8).\mathbb{Z}_2$	Section 2.5

TABLE 1. Exceptional Normal Edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G_{pq}

The restriction to connected graphs is allowable as discussed in Section 2.1.1.

Remark 1.2. (i) There is a unique vertex-primitive, normal edge-transitive Cayley Graph of a Frobenius group G_{pq} of order pq, namely $\Gamma(21, 2, 1)$ as

The first author is supported by an Australian Mathematical Society Lift-Off Fellowship.

defined in Construction 2, and it is isomorphic to the flag graph Γ_F of the Fano Plane (see Section 2.4, Figure 2 and Proposition 4.3).

(ii) If $q \mid \ell$ then $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ is a Cayley Graph for $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ as well as a Cayley graph for G_{pq} (see Proposition 3.13).

(iii) If $q \leq 3$ or $q \mid \operatorname{val}\Gamma$ then Γ is the unique connected, normal edge-transitive Cayley graph of order pq and valency $\operatorname{val}\Gamma$ up to isomorphism (see Proposition 3.13) if $q \geq 5$ and $q \nmid \operatorname{val}\Gamma$ then there are exactly $\frac{q-1}{2}$ such graphs up to isomorphism (Corollary 4.2).

(iv) The exceptional graphs in Table 1 are all well known: $\Gamma(21, 2, 1)$ is the flag graph of the Fano plane, $\Gamma(22, 5, 1)$ is the incidence graph of the $(11, 5, 2)$-biplane, and $\Gamma(14, 3, 1)$ and $\Gamma(146, 9, 1)$ are the incidence graphs of the Fano plane $\mathrm{PG}(2, 2)$ and of $\mathrm{PG}(2, 8)$ respectively (see Section 2.5).

Corollary 1.3. *The normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of order a product of two primes are known, and are given in Sections 3.1 and 3.3.*

Section 2 presents essential results about permutation groups and the structure of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, and outlines the strategy for classification. In Section 3 we summarise Houlis' classification of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for abelian groups of order a product of two primes (since his results were not published) and we classify the normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G_{pq}. In Section 5 we resolve questions of redundancy in our classification and determine the full automorphism groups of the graphs obtained.

2. Background and Examples

A subset S of a group G is called a *Cayley Subset* if $1_G \notin S$ and S contains s^{-1} for every $s \in S$. For a Cayley subset S, the *Cayley Graph* $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ has vertex set $\mathrm{V}\Gamma = G$, and edges the pairs $\{x, y\}$ for which $yx^{-1} \in S$. Each such graph admits the group $\rho(G) \cong G$, acting by right multiplication $\rho(g) : x \mapsto xg$, as a subgroup of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, and Γ is called *normal edge-transitive* if $N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(\rho(G))$ is transitive on the edges of Γ (see Section 2.1 or [12]).

Remark 2.1. Normal edge-transitivity is a property that depends upon the group G *as well as the graph* Γ. For example, for any group G, we have that $\mathrm{Cay}(G, G \setminus \{1\}) \cong K_{|G|}$ is always an edge-transitive graph, but its normal edge-transitivity is not guaranteed.

The group $\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ of (group) automorphisms of G which fix S setwise is an automorphism group of $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$, and $N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(\rho(G)) = \rho(G).\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ (see, for example, [12, pp.6-7]). Hence Γ is normal edge-transitive when $\rho(G).\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ is transitive on the edge-set.

Given a graph Γ and a partition $\mathscr{P}$ of the vertex set $\mathrm{V}\Gamma$, the *quotient graph* $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}}$ has vertex set $\mathscr{P}$, with two blocks B, B' adjacent if there exists a pair of adjacent vertices $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathrm{V}\Gamma$ with $\alpha \in B$ and $\alpha' \in B'$. For an edge-transitive subgroup $A = \rho(G).A_0$ of $\rho(G).\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$, a *normal quotient* of a Cayley graph $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is the quotient $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}}$, where $\mathscr{P}$ is the set of orbits of an A_0-invariant normal subgroup M of G and is equal to $\mathrm{Cay}(G/M, SM/M)$ (see [12, Theorem 3]); we denote this quotient by Γ_M. The quotient Γ_M admits an (unfaithful) normal edge-transitive action of A, with kernel $\rho(M).C_{A_0}(G/M)$. In particular each proper characteristic

subgroup M of G is A_0-invariant, yielding a nontrivial normal quotient Γ_M of Γ which is a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph.

The 'basic' members of the class of finite normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs were thus identified in [12] as Cayley graphs for characteristically simple groups H relative to a subgroup A_0 of $\mathrm{Aut}(H)$, leaving invariant no proper nontrivial normal subgroups of H.

To investigate the basic normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, a natural starting point is $G = \mathbb{Z}_q$, with q a prime; normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for these groups were described in [12, Example 2]. The result follows easily from Chao's classification of symmetric (i.e. arc-transitive) graphs on q vertices [2]. The basic graphs are *circulants* (essentially, edge-unions of cycles). We discuss this case in more detail in Section 2.10.

With the simplest case complete, we look for multicovers of these most basic cases, but again we seek to identify a kind of 'basic' reconstruction. Suppose that $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ is normal edge-transitive relative to $A = \rho(G)A_0$, where $A_0 \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(G)_S$, and that Γ is a normal multicover of Γ_N, where N is an A_0-invariant normal subgroup of G. We say Γ is a *minimal normal multicover* of Γ_N relative to A if there is no way to get to Γ_N in more than one step from Γ: that is, there is no A_0-invariant nontrivial normal subgroup of G properly contained in N.

Again we see that the smallest case is when the index $|G : N|$ is prime, and G has order a product of two primes p, q. The groups G to consider are the Abelian groups $\mathbb{Z}_{q^2}$ (with $p = q$) and $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, and the nonabelian *Frobenius group* F_{pq}, when $p \equiv 1 \pmod q$. The classification in the abelian cases was completed by Houlis in his MSc Thesis [8]. The classification in the final (nonabelian) case is completed in this paper, and since his thesis remains unpublished we also summarise Houlis' results (see Section 3.1).

Our main result (which we prove in Section 3.3) is the following, where the graphs $\Gamma(pq)$ and $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ are defined in Constructions 1 and 2:

Proposition 2.2. *Let Γ be a connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G_{pq}, where p, q are primes and q divides $p - 1$. Let T be the Sylow p-subgroup of G_{pq}. Then Γ is a normal multicover of $\Gamma_T \cong K_2$ if $q = 2$ or $\Gamma_T \cong C_q$ if q is odd, and Γ is one of the graphs listed in Theorem 1.1.*

Remark 2.3. The automorphism groups of all connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G_{pq} are determined in Proposition 4.1 below.

Remark 2.4. If $q \leq 3$, then Construction 2 produces a unique graph for each ℓ (by definition of i). If $q \geq 5$ and $q \mid \ell$, then the graphs $\{\Gamma(pq, \ell, i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2\}$ are all isomorphic (see Proposition 3.13). If $q \geq 5$ and $q \nmid \ell$ then they are pairwise nonisomorphic (see Corollary 4.2).

2.1. **Normal Edge-transitive Cayley Graphs.** Recall that $\rho(G)$ is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}\, G$ consisting of all permutations $\rho(g) : x \mapsto xg$ for $g \in G$, and that $N := N_{\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma}(\rho(G))$ is $\rho(G).\mathrm{Aut}(G)_S$. Note that for normal edge-transitivity N need only be transitive on *undirected* edges, and may or may not be transitive on arcs

(*ordered* pairs of adjacent vertices). Normal edge-transitivity can be described group-theoretically as follows. For $g \in G$ and $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} G$ we denote by $g^H = \{g^h \mid h \in H\}$ the H-orbit of g, and we write $g^{-H} = (g^{-1})^H$.

Lemma 2.5 ([12], Proposition 1(c))**.** *Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ be an undirected Cayley graph with $S \neq \emptyset$, and $N = \rho(G).\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$. Then the following are equivalent:*

(i) *Γ is normal edge-transitive;*
(ii) *The set $S = T \cup T^{-1}$, where T is an $\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$-orbit in G;*
(iii) *There exists $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}(G)$ and $g \in G$ such that $S = g^H \cup g^{-H}$.*

Moreover, $\rho(G).\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ is transitive on the arcs of Γ if and only if $\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ is transitive on S.

Hence every normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for a group G is determined by a (nonidentity) group element g and a subgroup H of $\operatorname{Aut} G$. This motivates the following definition:

Definition 2.6. For a group G, $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$ and $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} G$, define

$$\Gamma(G, H, g) := \operatorname{Cay}(G, g^H \cup g^{-H}).$$

2.1.1. *A Comment on Connectivity.* The connected component of 1 in $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is the subgroup $\langle S \rangle$, so $\operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ is connected if and only if S generates G. The next result allows us to focus our study on the connected case. Let $m.\Gamma_0$ denote the union of m vertex-disjoint copies of Γ_0.

Lemma 2.7. *Suppose that $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, H, g)$ is normal edge-transitive relative to $\rho(G).H$, and that $G_0 = \langle g^H \rangle$ has index $m > 1$ in G. Then $\Gamma \cong m.\Gamma_0$, where $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(G_0, H_0, g)$ with $H_0 = H|_{G_0}$ and Γ_0 is normal edge-transitive relative to $\rho(G_0).H_0$.*

Proof. The connected components of $\Gamma = \operatorname{Cay}(G, S)$ (where $S = g^H \cup g^{-H}$) have as their vertex sets the right cosets of $G_0 = \langle S \rangle$, and are transitively permuted by $\rho(G)$. In particular they are all isomorphic to $\Gamma_0 = \operatorname{Cay}(G_0, S)$, the component containing 1, and in the notation of Definition 2.6, $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(G_0, H_0, g)$, where H_0 is the group of automorphisms of $\langle S \rangle$ induced by H. The H_0-orbits in G_0 are precisely the H-orbits in G_0, and so Γ_0 is normal edge-transitive, by Proposition 2.5. □ □

Remark 2.8. Since $\operatorname{Aut}(m.\Gamma_0) = \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma_0 \operatorname{wr} S_m$, disconnected normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs are easily constructed from connected ones. For example, given a connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graph $\Gamma_0 = \Gamma(G_0, H_0, g)$ and a group M of order m, identifying H_0 with the subgroup $H = H_0 \times 1$ of $G = G_0 \times M$ and g with the element $(g, 1_M)$, the graph $\Gamma(G, H, g) \cong m.\Gamma_0$, and $\rho(G).H$ acts edge-transitively.

Thus we consider only connected graphs, and so henceforth we assume $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, H, g)$, where $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} G$ and $\langle g^H \rangle = G$.

2.1.2. *Use of Symmetry in the Analysis.* A classification of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for a given group G is reduced to the study of the action of subgroups of $\operatorname{Aut} G$ on G. We employ this strategy in Section 4. For efficiency we use the following result to avoid producing too many copies of each example.

Lemma 2.9. *Let $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut} G$. Then σ induces an automorphism from $\Gamma(G, H, g)$ to $\Gamma(G, H^\sigma, g^\sigma)$. In particular if $\sigma \in N_{\operatorname{Aut} G}(H)$, then $\Gamma(G, H, g) \cong \Gamma(G, H, g^\sigma)$.*

Proof. For any $x, y \in G$ we have $xy^{-1} \in S$ if and only if $x^\sigma (y^\sigma)^{-1} = (xy^{-1})^\sigma \in S^\sigma$, and so $\{x, y\} \in \mathrm{E}\Gamma$ if and only if $\{x^\sigma, y^\sigma\} \in \mathrm{E}\Gamma'$. Thus σ induces an isomorphism $\mathrm{Cay}(G, S) \to \mathrm{Cay}(G, S^\sigma)$, which implies $\Gamma(G, H, g) \cong \Gamma(G, H^\sigma, g^\sigma)$. If additionally $\sigma \in \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ normalises H, then $H = H^\sigma$ and the result follows. □ □

In particular for a given subgroup H, two elements of the same orbit in the action of $N_{\mathrm{Aut}\,G}(H)$ on H-orbits in G generate isomorphic graphs, and so we need only consider a single representative H-orbit from each $N_{\mathrm{Aut}\,G}(H)$-orbit.

2.2. **Examples & Constructions.** Here we present several important examples of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs. First we describe how Lemma 2.9 can be used to classify all normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs of prime order p.

Example 2.10. Let G be the additive group of the ring $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of integers modulo a prime p, and $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$, the multiplicative group of units. For every even divisor ℓ of $p-1$, and for $\ell = 1$ if $p = 2$, there is a unique subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ of order ℓ, namely $H_\ell := \langle m^{(p-1)/\ell} \rangle$. The graph $\Gamma(p, \ell) := \Gamma(G, H_\ell, 1)$ is normal edge-transitive of valency ℓ and since $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ normalises H_ℓ and is transitive on the H_ℓ-orbits in $G \setminus \{0\}$, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that every normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G is isomorphic to $\Gamma(p, \ell)$ for some ℓ.

The notion of a product of two graphs may be defined in several ways: we present two here, each of which arises in our study (see Construction 1 and Lemma 3.9).

Definition 2.11. Given graphs Σ, Δ, the *direct product* $\Gamma = \Sigma \times \Delta$ has vertex set $\mathrm{V}\Sigma \times \mathrm{V}\Delta$, with vertices $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ adjacent if both α_1 is adjacent to α_2 and β_1 is adjacent to β_2.

The direct product $\Sigma \times \Delta$ is so named because the direct product $\mathrm{Aut}\,\Sigma \times \mathrm{Aut}\,\Delta$ is contained in $\mathrm{Aut}(\Sigma \times \Delta)$.

Definition 2.12. Given graphs Σ, Δ, the *lexicographic product* $\Gamma = \Sigma[\Delta]$ has vertex set $\mathrm{V}\Sigma \times \mathrm{V}\Delta$, with vertices $(\alpha_1, \beta_1), (\alpha_2, \beta_2)$ adjacent if either $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\} \in \mathrm{E}\Sigma$, or both $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\{\beta_1, \beta_2\} \in \mathrm{E}\Delta$.

If both Σ and Δ are regular, then their lexicographic product is regular with valency $\mathrm{val}\,\Delta + |\,\mathrm{V}\Delta\,|\,\mathrm{val}\,\Sigma$. The lexicographic product has $(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Delta)\,\mathrm{wr}(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Sigma)$ as a subgroup of automorphisms (which may be a proper subgroup: for example if $\Delta = \Sigma = K_2$ then $\Gamma = \Sigma[\Delta] = K_4$ and $(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Delta)\,\mathrm{wr}(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Sigma) = D_8 < S_4 = \mathrm{Aut}\,K_4$).

The following result determines a sufficient condition for a Cayley graph to have a decomposition as a lexicographic product. If $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ and $M \trianglelefteq G$, then the normal quotient Γ_M of Γ is $\mathrm{Cay}(G/M, SM/M)$ (see [12, Theorem 3(b)]). For a graph Γ and vertex α we denote by $\Gamma(\alpha)$ the set of vertices adjacent to α in Γ. Note that, in $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, H, g)$ we have $\Gamma(g) = Sg$, where $S = g^H \cup g^{-H}$.

Proposition 2.13. *Let G be a group, M a normal subgroup with $m = |M|$, and let $\Gamma = \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ be a connected Cayley graph for G. Then $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_M[\overline{K_m}]$ if and only if S is a union of cosets of M.*

Proof. Since $\Gamma_M = \mathrm{Cay}(G/M, SM/M)$, by Definition 2.12 it follows that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_M[\overline{K_m}]$ if and only if $\Gamma(1_G) = S$ is equal to SM, that is to say, S is a union of M-cosets. □ □

$T = \mathrm{Soc}(G)$	p	$M(T)$	Conditions
A_p	p	$\mathbb{Z}_2$, except $\mathbb{Z}_6$ if $p = 7$	$p \geq 5$
$\mathrm{PSL}(n, r)$	$\frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$	$\mathbb{Z}_{gcd(n,r-1)}$, except $\mathbb{Z}_2$ if $(n, r) = (2, 4)$, $(3, 2), (3, 3)$	$n \geq 2$ $(n, r) \neq (2, 2)$ n prime
$\mathrm{PSL}(2, 11)$	11	$\mathbb{Z}_2$	
M_{11}	11	1	
M_{23}	23	1	

TABLE 2. Simple Normal Subgroups T and Schur Multipliers $M(T)$ of almost simple 2-transitive groups of prime degree p.

Remark 2.14. If the graph Γ in Proposition 2.13 is normal edge-transitive relative to $N \leqslant N_{\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma}(\rho(G))$, and if N normalises M, then Γ_M is also normal edge-transitive, as it is a normal quotient of Γ (see [12, Theorem 3]).

2.3. **Permutation Groups and Group Actions.** We use the basic definitions and notation found in [4], and we assume G is a finite group acting on a set Ω. We denote by ρ, λ, ι respectively the right, left and conjugation actions of G on itself.

A key concept in our analysis is *primitivity*. Let G be a group acting transitively on Ω. A subset Δ of Ω is called a *block of imprimitivity* if, for every $g \in G$, the image Δ^g is either empty or equal to Δ. For any block Δ, the set $\{\Delta^g \mid g \in G\}$ is a G-invariant partition of Ω, or *system of imprimitivity* for G in Ω. The singleton sets and the whole set Ω are always blocks; for this reason they are called *trivial* blocks. A group G acts *primitively* on Ω if the only blocks are the trivial blocks. In particular if B is a block and $\alpha \in B$, then G_α fixes B setwise. Consequently if G_α is transitive on $\Omega \setminus \{\alpha\}$, that is, if G is *2-transitive*, then $B = \{\alpha\}$ or $B = \Omega$, and so G is primitive.

Lemma 2.15. *Let G be a transitive permutation group of prime degree p. Then G is primitive, and either $G \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1, p)$, or G is almost simple and 2-transitive with socle T, where p, T and the Schur multiplier of T are as in one of the lines of Table 2.*

The socle T of an almost simple group G is its unique minimal normal subgroup (which is a nonabelian simple group). The possibilities for p and T can be obtained from, for example, Cameron [1, Table 7.4]. Their classification depends on the finite simple group classification. The Schur multiplier $M(T)$ is obtained from [10, Section 8.4].

The next result gives several ways in which blocks of imprimitivity may arise:

Lemma 2.16. *Let G be a transitive permutation group on Ω, and let $N \triangleleft G$. Then:*

(i) *the N-orbits in Ω are blocks of imprimitivity for G. In particular if G is primitive then N is transitive on Ω, or is trivial; and*
(ii) *For $\alpha \in \Omega$, the set $\mathrm{Fix}\, N_\alpha = \{\beta \in \Omega \mid \beta^n = \beta \quad \forall n \in N_\alpha\}$ is a block of imprimitivity for G.*

Proof. (i) See [15, p.13]. (ii) For any $g \in G$ we have $(\operatorname{Fix} N_\alpha)^g = \operatorname{Fix} N_{\alpha^g}$. If $\beta \in \operatorname{Fix} N_\alpha$ then since G is transitive and finite, and since N is normal, we have $N_\alpha = N_\beta$, so $\operatorname{Fix} N_\alpha = \operatorname{Fix} N_\beta$.

Now let $\Delta = \operatorname{Fix} N_\alpha$, and suppose $\gamma \in \Delta^g \cap \Delta$. The $\gamma = \delta^g$ with $\gamma, \delta \in \Delta$, and so by the previous paragraph $\Delta = \operatorname{Fix} N_\delta = \operatorname{Fix} N_\gamma$. But $\Delta^g = \operatorname{Fix} N_\delta^g = \operatorname{Fix} N_{\delta^g} = \operatorname{Fix} N_\gamma = \Delta$, so Δ is a block of imprimitivity for G. □ □

Our analysis in Section 4 deals, for the most part, with imprimitive groups. Given a transitive group G and a nontrivial system of imprimitivity $\mathscr{B}$, several permutation groups of smaller degree present themselves naturally. First, the group G acts transitively on the set of blocks, inducing a subgroup $G^{\mathscr{B}}$ of $\operatorname{Sym} \mathscr{B}$. The stabiliser G_B of a block $B \in \mathscr{B}$ in this action induces a transitive subgroup G_B^B of $\operatorname{Sym} B$. These two actions play an important role in the structure of G; in particular for distinct blocks $B, B' \in \mathscr{B}$ the induced groups G_B^B and $G_{B'}^{B'}$ are permutationally isomorphic.

The kernel $K = G_{(\mathscr{B})}$ of the G-action on $\mathscr{B}$ acts on each block $B \in \mathscr{B}$. We say that the K-actions on B and B' are *equivalent* if there exists a bijection $\varphi : B \to B'$ such that for every $\alpha \in B, k \in K$, we have $(\alpha^\varphi)^k = (\alpha^k)^\varphi$.

Lemma 2.17. *Let $\mathscr{B} = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k\}$. Then the set*

$$\Sigma = \{B_i \mid K^{B_i} \text{ is equivalent to } K^{B_1}\}$$

is a block of imprimitivity for the action of G on $\mathscr{B}$.

Proof. Write $B_i \sim B_j$ when the K-actions on B_i, B_j are equivalent. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation on $\mathscr{B}$, and Σ is an equivalence class. Moreover if $B_i \sim B_j$ with bijection $\varphi : B_i \to B_j$ and $g \in G$ then it is easy to prove $g^{-1} \circ \varphi \circ g : B_i^g \to B_j^g$ defines an equivalence of the K-actions on these blocks, so $B_i^g \sim B_j^g$. Thus $\sim$ determines a G-invariant partition and so Σ is a block of imprimitivity. □ □

Corollary 2.18. *Suppose G acts primitively on the set $\mathscr{B}$ of blocks. Then the K-actions on the blocks in $\mathscr{B}$ are either pairwise equivalent or pairwise nonequivalent.*

2.4. **The Flag Graph of the Fano Plane.** Here we construct the flag-graph of the Fano Plane, which coincidentally appears as the unique vertex-primitive normal edge-transitive Cayley graph.

The *Fano Plane* F is the smallest nontrivial projective plane; it has seven points and seven lines, namely the 1-spaces and 2-spaces of the vector space $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ with incidence given by inclusion. The automorphism group of F is the simple group $\mathrm{PSL}(3,2)$ of order 168. A *flag* of F is an incident point-line pair. Define the Flag Graph Γ_F of the Fano Plane as follows: the vertices are the 21 flags of F, with two flags (P, L) and (P', L') incident if either $P = P'$ or $L = L'$ (see Figure 2). Any automorphism of the Fano Plane induces an automorphism of Γ_F, and there is also an extra isomorphism induced by a duality – that is, a map that swaps points and lines and preserves incidence. Thus $\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F = \mathrm{PGL}(3,2).\mathbb{Z}_2 \cong \mathrm{PGL}(2,7)$, and $\operatorname{Aut} \Gamma_F$ acts primitively on Γ_F.



FIGURE 2. The flag graph of the Fano plane ($\Gamma(7.3, 2, 1)$ in the language of Construction 2): the only vertex-primitive graph in the classification.

2.5. **Incidence Graphs.** Another construction which appears by coincidence is the *incidence graphs*. Given a point-line incidence structure $\mathscr{I} = (\mathscr{P}, \mathscr{L})$ (for example, a projective plane), the incidence graph $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ has vertex set $\mathscr{P} \cup \mathscr{L}$, and an edge between $P \in \mathscr{P}, L \in \mathscr{L}$ if and only if P and L are incident in $\mathscr{I}$. That is, the edges of $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ are the flags of $\mathscr{I}$. There are no edges within $\mathscr{P}$ or within $\mathscr{L}$, and so $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ is bipartite.

The automorphism group of $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ is either isomorphic to $\operatorname{Aut}\mathscr{I}$, acting naturally on both points and lines, or isomorphic to $\langle \operatorname{Aut}\mathscr{I}, \tau \rangle$, where τ is a map switching $\mathscr{P}$ with $\mathscr{L}$ and preserving incidence. For example, if $\mathscr{I}$ is the Fano plane, then $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ has 14 vertices and valency 3 (for a total of 21 edges). This graph has automorphism group $\mathrm{PGL}(2, 7)$ – isomorphic to the automorphism group of the Flag graph described in Section 2.4, but acting imprimitively. This graph $\Gamma_{\mathscr{I}}$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma(14, 3, 1)$ as defined in Construction 2.

3. The Classification

3.1. **The Abelian Case.** In [8], Houlis classified the normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for the groups $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}, \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, for primes p, q. Combining his results with ours completes the classification of normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for all groups of order a product of two primes.

Recall from Proposition 2.5 and Definition 2.6 that every normal edge-transitive

Cayley graph for a group G is equal to $\Gamma(G, H, g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G, g^H \cup (g^{-1})^H)$, where $H \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ and $g \in G$. Note that when G is abelian, the inversion operation is a group automorphism and induces a graph automorphism, and if H contains it, then we have $\Gamma(G, H, g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G, g^H)$: if not, then we may replace H with $\langle H, -1\rangle$ without changing Γ, and so we may assume without loss of generality that H contains the inversion operation. We present Houlis' classification of the abelian case here by giving a representative H and g for each isomorphism class of graphs.

Recall from Example 2.10 that, for a divisor ℓ of $p-1$, H_ℓ is the unique subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^*$ of order ℓ. Let x be a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and let $a = (p-1)/\ell$, so $H_\ell = \langle x^a \rangle$. Note that H_ℓ contains the inversion operation if and only if ℓ is even (unless $p = 2$, in which case inversion is trivial).

3.1.1. *The Case $G = \mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_q$ ($p \neq q$).* Here we characterise all subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q) = \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ which give rise to connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs, and hence classify such graphs.

Definition 3.1. Let x, y be primitive elements of $\mathbb{Z}_p^*, \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ respectively, and suppose that d_2, d_1, d are integers satisfying the following conditions:

$$(1) \qquad d_2 > 0, \quad d_2 \mid (q-1), \quad d_1 \mid (p-1), \quad 0 \leq d < d_1, \quad d_1 d_2 \mid d(q-1)$$

We define a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^* = \langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle$ as follows:

$$H(d_2, d_1, d) := \langle (x^d, y^{d_2}), (x^{d_1}, 1) \rangle.$$

Theorem 3.2 ([8], Theorem 8.1.6)**.** *Let p, q be primes with $p \neq q$, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, and suppose that Γ is a connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G. Then there exist integers d_2, d_1, d satisfying the conditions* (1)*, with $\frac{q-1}{d_2}$ even if $q > 2$ and $\frac{p-1}{\gcd(d,d_1)}$ even if $p > 2$, such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(G, H(d_2, d_1, d), (1, 1))$. Moreover Γ has valency $\frac{p-1}{d_1}\frac{q-1}{d_2}$.*

Remark 3.3. It is not difficult to see that each subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ is equal to $H(d_2, d_1, d)$ for some d_2, d_1, d satisfying (1), see for example [8, Section 2.6]. However, while every subgroup H of $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$ yields a unique set of parameters d_2, d_1, d, this is not the only way of parametrising H: suppose that $H = H(d_1, d_2, d)$. If $d = 0$, set $c_1 := d_2, c_2 := d_1$ and $c := 0$. If $d > 0$ then set

$$c_2 := \gcd(d, d_1), \quad c_1 := \frac{d_1 d_2}{\gcd(d, d_1)}, \quad c := \frac{c_1}{\gcd(c_1, \frac{p-1}{c_2})}.$$

Then the parameters c_2, c_1, c satisfy the conditions (1) with p and q interchanged, and we hav $H = \langle (x^{c_2}, y^c), (1, y^{c_1}) \rangle$. This yields another parametrisation of H (and hence of the normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G). Up to replacing (d_2, d_1, d) by (c_2, c_1, c) the graphs $\Gamma(G, H, (1, 1))$ in Theorem 3.2 are pairwise nonisomorphic (see [8, Theorem 8.1.6(III)]).

3.1.2. *The Case $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$.* When $p = q$, the automorphism group of G is larger than $\mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^*$: there may be an 'interaction' between the two components. Since G is a 2-dimensional $\mathbb{Z}_p$-vector space, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(G) = \mathrm{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. There are two classes of subgroups $H \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(G)$ to consider.

For the first case, choose a divisor ℓ of $p-1$ which is even if $p > 2$. Recall that H_ℓ

is the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \langle x \rangle$ generated by $x^{(p-1)/\ell}$ (having order ℓ). Subgroups H in the first case have order $p\ell$, for such an ℓ, and are conjugate to

$$H := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid b \neq 0, d \in H_\ell \right\} \leqslant \mathrm{GL}(2,p).$$

In this case, the graph $\Gamma(G, H, (1,1))$ is the lexicographic product $\Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, H_\ell, 1)[\overline{K_p}]$ (see [8, Definition 6.1.1(I), Theorem 6.1.5]).

In the second case, H is a subgroup of the diagonal matrices, and hence is isomorphic to $H = H(d_2, d_1, d)$ for some parameters d_2, d_1, d satisfying the conditions (1).

Theorem 3.4 ([8], Theorem 6.1.5)**.** *Let p be a prime, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$, and suppose that Γ is a connected normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G. Then one of the following holds:*

(i) *$\Gamma \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, H_\ell, 1)[\overline{K_p}]$, for H_ℓ as in Example 2.10, of valency $p\ell$, for some $\ell \mid (p-1)$, with ℓ even if $p > 2$; or*
(ii) *p is odd and there exist integers d_2, d_1, d satisfying the conditions (1), with $\frac{p-1}{d_2}$ and $\frac{p-1}{\gcd(d,d_1)}$ even, such that $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(G, H(d_2,d_1,d), (1,1))$, of valency $\frac{(p-1)^2}{d_1 d_2}$.*

3.1.3. *The Case $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$.* In this case, we again have two cases:

Theorem 3.5 ([8] [Theorem 7.1.3)**.** *] Let p be a prime, let $G = \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, and suppose that Γ is a connected, normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for G. Then there exists a divisor ℓ of $p-1$, with ℓ even if $p > 2$, such that:*

(i) *$\Gamma \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p, H_\ell, 1)[\overline{K_p}]$, of valency $p\ell$; or*
(ii) *p is odd and $\Gamma \cong \mathrm{Cay}(G, S)$ of valency ℓ, where S is the unique subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_{p^2}^*$ of order ℓ.*

3.2. **The Frobenius Group of order pq.** A nonabelian group G of order pq, for primes p and q with $p > q \geq 2$, exists if and only if q divides $p-1$, and is a Frobenius group and unique up to isomorphism (see for example [5, Theorem 7.4.11]). In this section we construct such a group G as a subgroup of the 1-dimensional affine group $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$, and describe $\mathrm{Aut}\, G$.

The *affine group* $A := \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ consists of all affine transformations $x \mapsto xa + b$ of the field $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, with $a \neq 0$. It is generated by

$$t : x \mapsto x + 1, \quad m : x \mapsto xm,$$

where m is a fixed primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The element t has order $|t| = p$, and m has order $|m| = p-1$. The group $A = \langle m, t \rangle$ is the semidirect product $\langle t \rangle \rtimes \langle m \rangle$.

We use m to denote both the primitive element and the transformation induced by right multiplication by m: with this abuse of notation we have that $m^{-1}tm = t^m$, where the left hand side denotes composition of maps (i.e. multiplication in the group A), and the right hand side denotes the mth power of the generator t. Each element of A may be uniquely expressed as $m^i t^j$, with $0 \leq i \leq p-2$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, and for $k \geq 0$ we have

$$(m^i t^j)^{t^k} = m^i t^{j + k(1-m^i)}, \quad (m^i t^j)^m = m^i t^{jm}. \tag{2}$$

For a prime q dividing $p-1$ there is a unique subgroup G_{pq} of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ of order pq; namely $G_{pq} := \langle z, t\rangle$, where $z = m^{(p-1)/q}$. Since $t^z : x \mapsto x + z$ and $z \neq 1$, it follows that $t^z \neq t$ and hence that G_{pq} is not abelian. We identify the nonabelian group G of order pq with this subgroup G_{pq}, and denote the translation subgroup $\langle t\rangle$ by T. Note that

$$z^{-1}tz = t^{m^{(p-1)/q}}. \tag{3}$$

In view of the role played by $\mathrm{Aut}\, G$ in our strategy for classifying normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs (see 2.1), we need to understand the automorphism group of G_{pq} and its actions. Since G_{pq} is the unique subgroup of A of order pq, it is a characteristic subgroup of A. Thus G_{pq} is invariant under automorphisms of A and in particular under conjugation by elements of A. We denote by ι the conjugation action $A \to \mathrm{Aut}\, G_{pq}$, and with this notation $\iota(A) \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}\, G_{pq}$. In fact, equality holds:

Lemma 3.6. *Every automorphism of $G = G_{pq}$ is induced by conjugation by an element of $A = \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$, that is, $\mathrm{Aut}\, G = \iota(A) \cong A$.*

Proof. It follows from (2) that $\ker \iota = C_A(G)$ is trivial, and so $\iota(A) \cong A$. The subgroup T of translations is the unique Sylow p-subgroup of $G := G_{pq}$, and so is invariant under $\mathrm{Aut}\, G$. Thus there is an induced homomorphism $\varphi : \mathrm{Aut}\, G \to \mathrm{Aut}\, T$ which is onto since $\langle \varphi(\iota(m))\rangle \cong \mathrm{Aut}\, T$, as both are cyclic of order $p-1$. An automorphism $\sigma \in \ker \varphi$ is uniquely determined by the image z^σ of z. As $\langle t\rangle$ is normal in G, $ztz^{-1} \in T$ and hence is fixed by σ. Thus $(ztz^{-1})^\sigma = ztz^{-1}$.

Now $z^\sigma = z^x t^y$ for some x, y with $0 \leq x \leq q-2, 0 \leq y \leq p-1$. It follows that $(z^x t^y)t(z^x t^y)^{-1} = ztz^{-1}$ and so $t^{m^{x(p-1)/q}} = t^{m^{(p-1)/q}}$. Hence $m^{(x-1)(p-1)/q} \equiv 1 \pmod p$, or equivalently, $x \equiv 1 \pmod q$, as m is a primitive element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Since $0 \leq x \leq q-2$ it follows that $x = 1$ and $z^\sigma = zt^y$. This leaves at most p choices for z^σ and so $|\ker \varphi| \leq p$, and $|\mathrm{Aut}\, G| = (p-1)|\ker \varphi| \leq p(p-1) = |\iota(A)|$. On the other hand $|\mathrm{Aut}\, G| \geq |\iota(A)| = p(p-1)$, and it follows that $\mathrm{Aut}\, G = \iota(A)$. □ □

Recall from Lemma 2.7 that a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph $\Gamma(G, H, g)$ is connected if and only if g^H generates G. In particular if G contains a proper characteristic subgroup which intersects g^H nontrivially, then g^H lies entirely in this subgroup, and Γ is not connected (by Lemma 2.7). In the case of $G = G_{pq}$ this implies that the element g may not have order p, since all elements of order p lie in the characteristic subgroup T. It follows then that $o(g) = q$ and that the unique $\iota(H)$-invariant normal subgroup of G is T.

We investigate the subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}\, G \cong \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ with a view to applying the strategy described in Section 2.1. Since T has prime order, a subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ either contains T or intersects it trivially. In the latter case H is cyclic, and we define, for $\ell \mid (p-1)$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$,

$$H_{(\ell,j)} := \langle m^{(p-1)/\ell} t^j \rangle.$$

Note that every element of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p) \setminus T$ has order dividing $p-1$ and $|H_{(\ell,j)}| = \ell$ for each j.

Under the natural action of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ on G_{pq}, the orbits are $\{1\}, T \setminus \{1\}$ and

the left cosets $\{z^iT \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q-1\}$. The induced action of certain subgroups of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ on these orbits is of interest if we intend to apply Lemma 2.9, and is the subject of the following result.

Lemma 3.7. *Let H be a nontrivial subgroup of $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$, acting by conjugation on itself. Then*

(i) *if $T \subseteq H$, then for every $i \in \{1,\dots p-1\}$, $\iota(H)$ fixes setwise and acts transitively on m^iT; and*
(ii) *if $T \cap H = 1$ then $H = H_{(\ell,j)}$ for some ℓ, j with ℓ a divisor of $p-1$, $\ell > 1$, $0 \leq j \leq p-1$, and for each $i \in \{1,\dots,p-1\}$, $\iota(H)$ fixes a unique element of the coset m^iT, namely m^it^k where $k \equiv j(m^i-1)(m^{(p-1)/\ell}-1)^{-1} \pmod p$.*

Proof. For (i), let $m^it^j \in m^iT$. By (2) it follows that $(m^it^j)^h \in m^iT$ for all $h \in H$. Also $m^{-i} - 1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod p$ as $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Setting $k \equiv -j(1-m^i)^{-1} \pmod p$ we have by (2), $(m^it^j)^{t^k} = m^it^{j+k(1-m^i)} = m^i$, and so T is transitive on the coset. Thus H fixes setwise and is transitive on m^iT.
For (ii), if $T \cap H = 1$ then H is cyclic and equal to $H_{(\ell,j)} = \langle m^{(p-1)/\ell}t^j \rangle$ for some ℓ, j with $\ell > 1$ since $H \neq 1$. An element m^it^k of m^iT is fixed under conjugation by $m^{(p-1)/\ell}t^j$ if and only if $(m^it^k)^{m^{(p-1)/\ell}t^j} = m^it^k$, which, applying (2), is equivalent to $k \equiv j(m^i-1)(m^{(p-1)/\ell}-1)^{-1} \pmod p$. Thus m^iT contains a unique element fixed by H. □ □

Recall that $z = m^{(p-1)/q}$. It follows from Lemma 3.7(ii) that $\iota(H_{(\ell,j)})$ fixes a unique element of each orbit z^iT for $0 \leq i \leq q-1$, and these elements form a cyclic subgroup of G_{pq} of order q.

Notation 1. Let $G = G_{pq}$ and $H = H_{(\ell,j)}$ for some divisor ℓ of $p-1$, with $\ell \neq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq p-1$. Let X denote the set of elements of G fixed under conjugation by H, so that (by the remarks above) $X = \langle x \rangle$ is a cyclic subgroup of order q, where $x = zt^{j(z-1)(m^{(p-1)/\ell}-1)^{-1}}$. The cosets of X form a $\rho(G)\iota(H)$-invariant partition of G (recall that ρ, ι denote the actions of G on itself by right multiplication and conjugation respectively), and $G = T \rtimes X$.

Construction 1. Let p and q be primes with $p \equiv 1 \pmod q$. Then define the graph

$$\Gamma(pq) := \Gamma(G_{pq}, T, z),$$

recalling that T is the translation subgroup of $G_{pq} \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$, and $\Gamma(G,H,g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G, g^H \cup (g^{-1})^H)$. The graph $\Gamma(pq)$ is isomorphic to the lexicographic product $C_q[\overline{K_p}]$ if q is odd and $K_2[\overline{K_p}] = K_{p,p}$ if $q = 2$.

Construction 2. Let p and q be primes with $q \equiv 1 \pmod p$, let ℓ be a divisor of $p-1$ such that $\ell > 1$, and let i be an integer with $1 \leq i \leq q-1$. Then define the graph

$$\Gamma(pq, \ell, i) := \Gamma(G_{pq}, H_{(\ell,1)}, z^i),$$

recalling that $H_{(\ell,1)} = \langle m^{(p-1)/\ell}t \rangle$, and $\Gamma(G,H,g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G, g^H \cup g^{-H})$.

Remark 3.8. When $q = 2$, we have $i = 1$ and $z = z^{-1}$. So $H = H_{(\ell,1)}$ acts transitively on $S = z^H$, and $\Gamma(2p, \ell, 1)$ is $\rho(G)\iota(H)$-arc-transitive, by Lemma 2.5.



FIGURE 3. The Graph $\Gamma(55, 2, 2)$ as in Construction 1.

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\ell = p-1$, and let $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ as defined in Construction 2. If q is odd, then $\Gamma \cong K_p \times C_q$, and $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma = S_p \times D_{2q}$. If $q = 2$ then $\Gamma \cong K_p \times K_2$ with $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma = S_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2$. In particular, $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ has a system of imprimitivity consisting of p blocks of size q.*

Proof. Set $H = H_{(p-1,1)} = \langle mt \rangle$, so $\Gamma = \Gamma(G, H, z^i)$. A vertex in $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq, p-1, i)$ is joined to the identity if and only if it is contained in the set $S = z^{iH} \cup z^{-iH} = (Tz^i \cup Tz^{-i}) \setminus X$. Similarly $g \in G$ is joined to precisely $(Tz^ig \cup Tz^{-i}g) \setminus Xg$.

Consider the two partitions $\mathscr{P}_T = \{Tg \mid g \in G\}$ and $\mathscr{P}_X = \{Xg \mid g \in G\}$. The quotient graphs $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}_T}$ and $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}_X}$ are isomorphic to C_q and K_p respectively, and two vertices in Γ are joined precisely when the corresponding vertices in the quotient graphs are joined. This is the definition of $K_p \times C_q$ (see Definition 2.11). Thus $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma \geqslant \operatorname{Aut} K_p \times \operatorname{Aut} C_q = S_p \times D_{2q}$. It is not difficult to prove that equality holds, and so $\mathscr{P}_X$ is $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$-invariant with blocks of size q. □ □

3.3. **Normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G_{pq}.**

3.3.1. *Proof of Proposition 2.2.* We divide the connected, normal edge-transitive Cayley graphs for G_{pq} into two distinct classes. From now on we assume that

$G = G_{pq} = \langle t, z\rangle$ as in Section 3.2, that $H \leqslant \operatorname{Aut} G = \iota(\mathrm{AGL}(1,p))$, and that $N = \rho(G)\iota(H)$ acts edge-transitively on a connected Cayley graph $\Gamma = \Gamma(G,H,g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ where $S = g^H \cup g^{-H}$ for some $g \in G \setminus \{1\}$. Recall that T is the unique $\iota(H)$-invariant normal subgroup of G and that, by Example 2.10, $\Gamma_T = \Gamma(q,a)$ for some $a \mid (q-1)$ with a even if $q > 2$.

If $T \subseteq H \leqslant G$ then, by Lemma 3.7(i), for some $i \in \{1,\dots,p-2\}$, the Cayley graph $\Gamma(G,H,g) = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ with $S = m^iT \cup m^{-i}T$, where $g \in m^iT$ and $g^H = m^iT$. Hence, by Lemma 2.13, $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_T[\overline{K_p}]$. The quotient graph Γ_T is $K_2 = \Gamma(2,1)$ if $q = 2$, or $C_q = \Gamma(q,2)$ if q is odd (since it has valency two). Moreover as $g^H = m^iT$ it follows from Proposition 2.5 that Γ is normal edge-transitive relative to N. Thus we have proved the following.

Lemma 3.10. *If p divides $|H|$ then $\Gamma \cong \Gamma_T[\overline{K_p}]$, where $\Gamma_T = \Gamma(q,2) \cong C_q$ if q is odd, or $\Gamma(2,1) = K_2$ if $q = 2$, and Γ is normal edge-transitive relative to $\rho(G)\iota(H)$.*

Note that this Lemma shows that all assertions of Proposition 2.2 hold if p divides $|H|$, and in this case Γ is as in Theorem 1.1(i). Also this Lemma implies $\Gamma(G,H,z) = \Gamma(G,T,z)$, and so if H contains T we assume without loss of generality that $H = T$. We now consider the second case where $H \cap T = 1$, and hence $|H| \mid (p-1)$.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $H = H_{(\ell,j)} \subseteq \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ (with $\ell > 1, \ell \mid p-1$), and let $g \in G := G_{pq}$, and suppose that $\Gamma = \Gamma(G,H,g)$ is connected. If $\ell = |H|$ divides $p-1$ then $\ell > 1$ and $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ as in Construction 2 for some i.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, $H = H_{(\ell,j)}$ for some divisor ℓ of $p-1$ and some j where $0 \le j \le p-1$, and we have $g = z^it^k$ for some i,k. Using Equation (2) it is straightforward to check that $y_1 := t^{k(m^{i(p-1)/q}-1)^{-1}}$ conjugates g to z^i and conjugates $H_{(\ell,j)}$ to $H_{(\ell,j')}$ for some j'. Since Γ is connected $\ell = |H| > 1$. If $j' \neq 0$ there exists r such that $j'm^r \equiv 1 \pmod p$ (interpreting m here as an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p$) and hence such that $(m^{(p-1)/\ell}t^{j'})^{z^r} = m^{(p-1)/\ell}t$. Thus $H_{(\ell,j)}^{\iota(y_1m^r)} = H_{(\ell,j')}^{\iota(m^r)} = H_{(\ell,1)}$, and $g^{\iota(y_1m^r)} = (z^i)^{m^r} = z^i$ (since $z^i \in \langle m\rangle$)). So $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(G,H_{(\ell,1)},z^i) = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$.

If $j' = 0$ then $H_{(\ell,0)}$ centralises z^i and hence $\Gamma \cong \Gamma(G,H_{(\ell,0)},z^i) = \mathrm{Cay}(G,S)$ where $S = \{z^i, z^{-i}\}$ and so by the remarks in Section 2.1.1, Γ is isomorphic to $p.C_q$, contradicting the connectivity of Γ. □ □

We now complete the proof of Proposition 2.2. By the remarks following Lemma 3.10 we may assume that $H \cap T = 1$, and by Lemma 3.11, we may further assume that $\Gamma = \Gamma(G_{pq},H_{(\ell,1)},z^i) = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ for some divisor ℓ of $p-1$ with $\ell \neq 1$ and with $1 \le i \le q-1$. If q is odd then $\Gamma(G,H_{(\ell,1)},z^i) = \Gamma(G,H_{(\ell,1)},z^{q-i})$, since $(z^{q-i})^H \cup (z^{-q-i})^H = (z^{-i})^H \cup (z^i)^H$. So if q is odd we may assume $1 \le i \le \frac{q-1}{2}$. If $q = 2$, then $i = 1$.

If $\ell = p-1$, then by Lemma 3.9, Γ is as in Theorem 1.1(ii). In all other cases (that is, $1 < \ell < p-1$), Γ is as in Theorem 1.1(iii): the vertices of Γ_T are the cosets of T, and in all cases $S = z^iH \cup z^{-i}H$, and so $ST = z^iT \cup z^{-i}T$. Thus the connection set of $\Gamma_T = ST/T = \{z^iT, z^{-i}T\}$, which has size 1 if $q = 2$ and 2 if q is odd. Thus $\Gamma_T = K_2$ if $q = 2$ and C_q when q is odd. Proposition 2.2 is now proved.

3.3.2. *Cayley graphs for $\rho(T) \times \lambda(X)$.* The graphs of case (iii) all seem essentially 'the same' at first glance. However, the structure of the graph differs fundamentally depending on the parameter ℓ. This is because we sometimes, but not always, have a regular abelian subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$ (see Lemma 3.12 below). In this case Γ may be reinterpreted as a Cayley graph for an abelian group. Recall from Sections 2.1 and 2.3 that $N = \rho(G)\operatorname{Aut}(G)_S$ is the normaliser of $\rho(G)$ in $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, and $\lambda(G)$ denotes the left regular action $\lambda_g : x \mapsto g^{-1}x$ of G.

Lemma 3.12. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(p, q, \ell, i)$, and suppose q divides ℓ. Then the following hold, for X as in Notation 1:*

(i) $X \leqslant H$;
(ii) $\lambda(X) \leqslant N$;
(iii) $\rho(T) \times \lambda(X)$ *is a regular subgroup of* $\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$, *and so* Γ *is a Cayley graph for* $\rho(T) \times \lambda(X) = \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q$;
(iv) *As a Cayley graph for* $\rho(T) \times \lambda(X)$, Γ *is normal edge-transitive.*

Proof. Part (i) follows from the definition of X. For part (ii), observe that $\lambda(X) \leqslant \rho(X)\iota(X) \leqslant \rho(G)\iota(H) = N$. Part (iii) then follows easily.
For (iv), note that since $\rho(T) \operatorname{char} \rho(G) \triangleleft N$, we have $\rho(T) \triangleleft N$, and since X is by definition fixed under $\iota(H)$, so is $\lambda(X)$. So $\lambda(X)$ is centralised by both $\iota(H)$ and $\rho(G)$, it is normal in the product, and so $\rho(T)\lambda(X) \triangleleft N$. Thus $N \subseteq N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(\rho(T)\lambda(X))$, and so the normaliser is transitive on $\mathrm{E}\Gamma$. □ □

When $q|\ell$ there is only one graph up to isomorphism: different choices of i give isomorphic graphs.

Proposition 3.13. *If q divides ℓ, then $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i) \cong \Gamma(\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_q, \hat{H}, (1, 1))$ where $\hat{H} = H(\frac{q-1}{2}, \frac{p-1}{\ell}, d)$ as in Definition 3.1, and*

$$d = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \ell \text{ is even; and} \\ \frac{p-1}{2\ell} & \text{if } \ell \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

In particular $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ is independent of i up to isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 3.12, $L = \rho(T) \times \lambda(X) \leqslant \operatorname{Aut}\Gamma$. Recall that $N = \rho(G)\iota(H) = N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(\rho(G))$. Since $\rho(T)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\rho(G)$, we have that $\rho(T)$ is normalised by N. Since $\lambda(X)$ is centralised by $\rho(G)$ (the left and right regular actions centralise one another) and is centralised by $\iota(H)$ (since $X \leqslant H$ and H is cyclic), we have that $\lambda(X)$ is centralised by N. It follows that $N \leqslant N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(L)$.

Now since (by Lemma 3.12) Γ is a Cayley graph for L, we may identify the vertices of Γ with the elements of L, and $\Gamma \cong \operatorname{Cay}(L, S)$ for some $S \subseteq L$ with $S = S^{-1}$. Then the automorphism $\varphi : x \to x^{-1}$ is an automorphism of L (since L is abelian) and it fixes the connection set S, and so $\varphi \in N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(L)$. Set $\hat{N} = \langle N, \varphi \rangle$, and set $\hat{H} = \hat{N}_{1_G} = \langle \iota(H), \varphi \rangle$.

Now Γ is normal edge-transitive as a Cayley graph for L (since $N \leqslant N_{\operatorname{Aut}\Gamma}(L)$ and N is edge-transitive). So $\hat{H}$ is transitive on the connection set S (since φ switches an element with its inverse). Moreover, we have $|\hat{H}| = |S| = 2\ell$, and $\hat{N}$ is transitive on the arcs of Γ.

We seek to determine the parameters d_2, d_1, d as in Definition 3.1, such that $\hat{H} \cong \langle (x^d, y^{d_2}), (x^{d_1}, 1)\rangle \leqslant \mathbb{Z}_p^* \times \mathbb{Z}_q^* \cong \mathrm{Aut}(\rho(T)) \times \mathrm{Aut}(\lambda(X))$ (as in Theorem 3.2). Since $\iota(H)$ centralises $\lambda(X)$, the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\lambda(X))$ induced by the action of $\hat{H}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_2$, and so $d_2 = \frac{q-1}{2}$. Since $\iota(H)$ acts faithfully on $\rho(T)$, we have $\hat{H} \cap (\mathrm{Aut}(\rho(T)) \times 1) = \iota(H)$, and so $d_1 = \frac{p-1}{\ell}$.

Suppose that $d > 0$. Then the conditions 3.1 give $0 < d \leq d_1$ and $d_1 \mid 2d$ which implies that $d_1 = 2d$ is even. Thus $d = \frac{p-1}{2\ell}$. In this case the first generator of $\hat{H} = H(d_2, d_1, d)$ is $(x^{(p-1)/2\ell}, -1)$, which squares to the second generator $(x^{d_1}, 1)$. Thus $\hat{H}$ is cyclic and so $\varphi = (-1, -1)$ is the unique involution in $\hat{H}$. The only element in $\hat{H}$ with first entry -1 is $(x^{(p-1)/2\ell}, -1)^\ell = (-1, (-1)^\ell)$, and it follows that ℓ is odd.

Suppose now that $d = 0$. Then $\hat{H}$ contains $(1, -1)$ and since $\hat{H}$ also contains $\varphi = (-1, -1)$, we have $(-1, -1) \in \hat{H} \cap (\mathrm{Aut}(\rho(T)) \times 1) = \langle (x^{d_1}, 1)\rangle$. This implies that $\ell = |x^{d_1}|$ is even. □ □

In the case $q \nmid \ell$, however, the graphs in Proposition 2.2 are pairwise nonisomorphic (Corollary 4.2 below).

4. Redundancy and Automorphisms

In this section we discuss the possibility of redundancy in our classification, that is, isomorphisms between the graphs $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ for different choices of parameters. In doing so we determine the automorphism groups of our graphs. Recall the following: p and q are primes with q dividing $p-1$, ℓ is a proper divisor of $p-1$ with $\ell > 1$, i is an integer with $1 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2$, $G = G_{pq}$, $H = H_{(\ell,1)} = \langle m^{(p-1)/\ell} t\rangle$, and $N = \rho(G)\iota(H)$. Define $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i) = \Gamma(G, H, z^i)$, and $Y = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. In this section we determine Y for most values of (p, q, ℓ, i) (see Theorem 4.1), and decide when different sets of parameters yield isomorphic graphs (Corollary 4.2).

It is obvious that different primes p and q generate nonisomorphic graphs, as $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ has pq vertices. Each graph $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ has valency ℓ or 2ℓ, according as q is odd or even. Thus different choices for ℓ also yield nonisomorphic graphs. We therefore need only decide whether $\Gamma(pq, \ell, i) \cong \Gamma(pq, \ell, i')$ implies $i = i'$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq, \ell, i)$ as defined in Construction 2, and let $Y = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. Then*

$$Y = \begin{cases} \rho(G).\iota(H) & \text{when } q = 2 \text{ or } q \nmid \ell \text{ and } \ell < p-1; \\ \rho(G).\iota(H).\mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{when } q \geq 3,\ q \mid \ell \text{ and } \ell < p-1; \\ S_p \times \mathbb{Z}_2 & \text{when } \ell = p-1 \text{ and } q = 2; \text{ and} \\ S_p \times D_{2q} & \text{when } \ell = p-1 \text{ and } q = 3; \end{cases}$$

except in the cases $(p, q, \ell, i) = (7, 3, 2, 1), (7, 2, 3, 1), (11, 2, 5, 1)$ and $(73, 2, 9, 1)$.

We prove Theorem 4.1 over the course of this section. First we give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. That Γ satisfies one of Theorem 1.1(i)-(iii) follows from Proposition 2.2, and the structure of $Y = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$ follows from Theorem 4.1 in all

$\mathrm{Soc}(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma)$	p	q	$\ell = \frac{\mathrm{val}\,\Gamma}{2}$
A_p	p	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{(p-2)(p-3)}{4}$
$\mathrm{PSL}(2,11)$	11	5	2
$\mathrm{PSL}(2,23)$	23	11	2
$\mathrm{PSL}(2,p)$	p	$\frac{p-1}{2}$	$\frac{p+1}{8}, \frac{p+1}{4}, \frac{p+1}{2}$

TABLE 3. Possibilities in the proof of Proposition 4.3

cases except the four exceptional parameter sets of Theorem 4.1. The other automorphism groups can be calculated manually (using, for example, GAP). □ □

Next we deduce from Theorem 4.1 our claim about graph isomorphisms.

Corollary 4.2. *Let $\Gamma(pq,\ell,i), \Gamma(pq,\ell,i')$ be defined as in Construction 2, and suppose that $q \nmid \ell$. Then $\Gamma(pq,\ell,i) \cong \Gamma(pq,\ell,i')$ if and only if $i = i'$.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i), \Gamma' = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i')$. If $q \leq 3$ then $i = i' = 1$, and so we assume without loss of generality that $q \geq 5$. An isomorphism $\varphi : \Gamma \to \Gamma'$ is a permutation of G such that $\mathrm{E}\Gamma^\varphi = \mathrm{E}\Gamma'$. We may assume without loss of generality that φ fixes the identity of G, since both graphs are vertex-transitive.

Now $\varphi^{-1}\,\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma\varphi = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma'$, but by Theorem 4.1, $\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma' = \rho(G)\iota(H)$, and so $\varphi^{-1}(\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma)\varphi = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. Hence $\varphi \in N_{1_G} = (N_{\mathrm{Sym}\,G}(\rho(G)\iota(H)))_{1_G}$.

Since N normalises $\rho(G)$, it is contained in the holomorph of G, namely $\rho(G).\mathrm{Aut}(G)$, and hence $N_{1_G} \subseteq \mathrm{Aut}(G)$. But by Formula (2), the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ fixes the cosets of T setwise. Now an isomorphism fixing 1_G must map $(z^i)^H \cup (z^{-i})^H$ to $(z^{i'})^H \cup (z^{-i'})^H$, and if $q \geq 5, 1 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2$ then this is possible only if $i = i'$, as $(z^i)^H \subseteq z^iT$. □ □

Our first step in the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to identify one of the exceptional cases.

Proposition 4.3. *Suppose $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ is vertex-primitive. Then $(p,q,\ell,i) = (7,3,2,1)$ and Γ is the flag graph Γ_F of the Fano Plane (see Section 2.4, Figure 2), with automorphism group $\mathrm{PGL}(3,2).\mathbb{Z}_2$.*

Proof. If $q = 2$ then Γ is bipartite, and the two parts form a system of imprimitivity. Also if $\ell = p-1$ then $\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$ is imprimitive by Lemma 3.9. Thus $q \geq 3$, $p \geq 7$ since $q \mid p-1$, and ℓ is a proper divisor of $p-1$. The edge-transitive, vertex-primitive graphs of order a product of 2 primes are classified in [13, Table I, Table III], along with their valency and whether or not they are Cayley graphs. Requiring that Γ be a Cayley graph, and that q and $\ell = \frac{\mathrm{val}\,\Gamma}{2}$ are divisors of $p-1$ with $1 < \ell < p-1$, we are left with the possibilities in Table 3: The fact that $\ell > 1$ and ℓ divides $p-1$ rules out line 1 and in line 4 implies that $p = 7$, $\ell = 2$ and $q = 3$. Thus we have exactly three (p,q,ℓ) to check further. Using Nauty [11] and the package GRAPE [14] for GAP [6], we constructed the graphs $\Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ for the three remaining possible p,q,ℓ as in the table (and for every $i \leq (q-1)/2$) and computed their automorphism groups, finding that the automorphism group acts imprimitively for the graphs in lines 2 and 3 and that the graph $\Gamma(21,2,1)$ is vertex-primitive and is the flag graph of the Fano plane as asserted. □ □



FIGURE 4. The graph $\Gamma(73.2, 9, 1)$, an incidence graph of a projective plane and an exception in Theorem 4.1.

4.1. **Main Case: $\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$ is imprimitive.** By Proposition 4.3, if $(p,q,\ell) \neq (7,3,2)$ then $\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$ is imprimitive. Throughout this Section suppose $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ as defined in Construction 2, with either $(q,i) = (2,1)$ or q odd, $1 \leq i \leq (q-1)/2$ and $(p,q,\ell) \neq (7,3,2)$, and let $Y = \mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma$. The case $\ell = p-1$ has been dealt with in Lemma 3.9, so we assume $1 < \ell < p-1$. By our construction we know that $N := \rho(G)\iota(H) \leqslant Y$; thus any Y-invariant partition of $\mathrm{V}\Gamma$ is also N-invariant. The following lemma describes the only N-invariant partitions, and so the only feasible Y-invariant partitions.

Lemma 4.4. *Let $\Gamma = \Gamma(pq,\ell,i)$ with $\ell < p-1$, and let $N = \rho(G).\iota(H)$. Then the following are the only nontrivial N-invariant partitions of $\mathrm{V}\Gamma$:*

(i) *The partition of G into the right cosets of $T = \langle t \rangle$, consisting of q blocks of size p; and*
(ii) *The partition into the right cosets of the subgroup X (see Notation 1). consisting of p blocks of size q.*

Proof. Let B be a block of imprimitivity for N containing 1_G. By [12, Theorem 3(a)], B is a subgroup of G. The setwise stabiliser of B in $\rho(G)$ is $\rho(B)$, and is a normal subgroup of N_B. Since $\iota(H) = N_{1_G}$ leaves B invariant (since $1_G \in B$), it follows that B is H-invariant. Conversely each H-invariant subgroup of G is a block for N.

Since T is normal in $\mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ and $H \subseteq \iota(\mathrm{AGL}(1,p))$, T is H-invariant and so the cosets of T form an N-invariant partition as in (i). Any H-invariant subgroup L of G with $L \neq T$ has order q, and since by Formula (2) H fixes each coset of T setwise, H must centralise L. Thus by Lemma 3.7 there is only one other H-invariant subgroup, namely the subgroup $X = \langle x \rangle$, where $x = m^i t^{j(m^i-1)(m^{(p-1)/\ell}-1)^{-1}}$ (see Notation 1), as in (ii). □ □

This gives us two possibilities for Y-invariant partitions of the vertex set: one into p blocks of size q and the other into q blocks of size p. We prove the following lemma in the course of the section:

Lemma 4.5. *If $(p,q,\ell,i) \neq (7,3,2,1)$ and $1 < \ell < p-1$, then the cosets of T form a Y-invariant partition of $\mathrm{V}\Gamma$.*

We begin by noting that in the case $q = 2$, the cosets of T form a bipartition of Γ, and hence a system of imprimitivity. Now we assume:

(4) $q \geq 3$ and the cosets of X form a system of imprimitivity $\mathscr{P}$ for Y.

If (4) does not hold, then by Lemma 4.4 the result is proved.

Lemma 4.6. *Assume (4) holds, and let $s \in S = (z^i)^H \cup (z^{-i})^H$. Then $|S \cap Xs| \in \{1,2\}$ and is independent of the choice of s.*

Proof. Note first that $s \in S \cap Xs$, and so $|S \cap Xs| \geq 1$. Now suppose $|S \cap Xs| \geq 3$. Then since H has just two orbits on S, there exist distinct $s_1, s_2 \in S \cup Xs$ with $s_1^h = s_2$ for some $h \in H$. Since $s_1, s_2 \in Xs$, we have $s_1 s_2^{-1} \in X$, but on the other hand $s_1 s_2^{-1} = s_1 s_1^{-h}$, and since H fixes the cosets of T setwise it follows that $s_1 s_2^{-1} \in T$. But $T \cap X = \{1\}$, and so $s_1 = s_2$, contradiction.

If $|S \cap Xs| = 1$ for each $s \in S$ there is nothing more to prove, so suppose that

$S \cap Xs = \{s, s'\}$ with $s \neq s'$. Then by the above argument s' cannot be in s^H, and so $s' \in (s^{-1})^H$. So there exists $h \in H$ with $s' = s^{-h}$. Now choose $s_2 \in S$; then s_2 is in the H-orbit of either s or s'. Suppose $s_2 \in s^H$. Then for some $h' \in H$, $s_2 = s^{h'}$. Then $s_2 s_2^h = s^{h'} s^{h'h} = (ss^h)^{h'} \in X^H = X$, so $Xs_2 = Xs_2^{-h}$ and so $|S \cap Xs_2| = 2$ for every $s_2 \in S$. If $s_2 \in (s')^H$ then the same argument holds with s' in place of s. □ □

We now investigate the structure of the kernel $K = Y_{(\mathscr{P})}$ and its action on each member of the partition $\mathscr{P}$ of (4). We assume for the moment that K is nontrivial. In this case, K is transitive on every block (as Y acts primitively on each block and $K \lhd Y$, Lemma 2.16 shows K is transitive). So if $K \neq 1$, the K-orbits are the cosets of X. Moreover, since K acts transitively on each block Xg and each block has prime size q, by Lemma 2.15, K^{Xg} is primitive.

Lemma 4.7. *Assume* (4) *holds. Then the pointwise stabiliser $K_{(X)}$ is trivial, and so $K \cong K^X$.*

Proof. If $K = 1$ there is nothing to prove so assume $K \neq 1$. Let $s \in S$. Since $K_{(X)}$ fixes $1_G \in X$ it follows that $K_{(X)}$ fixes S setwise. Also $K_{(X)} < K = Y_{(\mathscr{P})}$ fixes the block X setwise and hence $K_{(X)}$ fixes $S \cap Xs$ setwise. By Lemma 4.6, $|S \cap Xs| \leq 2 < q = |Xs|$, and hence $K_{(X)}$ is not transitive on Xs. Since K is primitive on Xs, its normal subgroup $K_{(X)}$ must therefore act trivially on Xs, and since this holds for all $s \in S$, it follows by connectivity that $K_{(X)} = 1$. □ □

Lemma 4.8. *Assume* (4) *holds, and that $K \neq 1$. Then either $K = \lambda(X)$ or $K = \lambda(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2 \cong D_{2q}$, and in particular, $\lambda(X) \lhd Y$.*

Proof. Let $s \in S$. Then $s \in S \cap Xs$ and by Lemma 4.6, $|S \cap Xs| \leq 2$. Suppose first that $S \cap Xs = \{s\}$. Then K_1 fixes $S \cap Xs$ and so $K_1 \leqslant K_s$. Since all K-orbits have the same length, $K_1 = K_s$, and this holds for every $s \in S$. By connectivity, $K_1 = 1$, and so $|K| = q$.

Now suppose $S \cap Xs = \{s, s'\}$. Since K_1 fixes $S \cap Xs$ setwise it follows that $|K_1 : K_{1,s}| \leq 2$ and $K_{1,s} \subseteq K_{s,s'}$. Thus $|K_s : K_{s,s'}| \leq 2$ and in particular if K^{Xs} is 2-transitive then $q = 3$ and $K^{Xs} = S_3 = \mathrm{AGL}(1,3)$. Thus by Lemma 2.15, in all cases $K^{Xs} \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1,q)$ and $K_{s,s'}$ fixes Xs pointwise. We therefore have $|K^{Xs}| = q|K_s : K_{s,s'}| \leq 2q$.

Thus $|K|$ is either q or $2q$, and so K has a characteristic subgroup $K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $K_0 \lhd Y$. We claim that $K_0 = \lambda(X)$. Consider the subgroup $Y_0 := \langle K_0, \rho(T)\rangle$ of $\mathrm{Sym}(G)$. Now $\rho(T) \cap K_0 = 1$ and $\rho(T)$ normalises K_0 and hence $|Y_0| = pq$. Since $p > q$, $\rho(T)$ is a normal subgroup of Y_0, and so $Y_0 = K_0 \times \rho(T)$ and $\rho(T) \leqslant C_{\mathrm{Sym}\, G}(K_0)$.

Now consider $\langle \rho(X), K_0\rangle$. This group has order q^2 and so is abelian. In particular, $\rho(X) \subseteq C_{\mathrm{Sym}\, G}(K_0)$, and so $\rho(G) \leqslant C_{\mathrm{Sym}\, G}(K_0)$, as $\rho(G) = \langle \rho(T), \rho(X)\rangle$. This implies that $K_0 \leqslant C_{\mathrm{Sym}\, G}(\rho(G)) = \lambda(G)$. So $K_0 = \lambda(X')$ for some subgroup X' of G of order q, and since K_0 fixes X setwise we must have that $X' = X$. If $K = \lambda(X) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_2$ then $K \cong D_{2q}$ as it cannot possibly be cyclic (all of its orbits have size q). □ □

This yields three cases, according to K: it is either $D_{2q}, \mathbb{Z}_q$ or 1.

Lemma 4.9. *Assume* (4) *holds. If $K \cong D_{2q}$ then the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.16(ii), $\operatorname{Fix} K_1$ is a block of imprimitivity for Y in VΓ. If $K \cong D_{2q}$ then by Lemma 4.7, K acts faithfully as D_{2q} on every block in $\mathscr{P}$, and so $K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$ fixes a unique point in each of the p blocks. By Lemma 4.4, $\operatorname{Fix} K_1$ must be a coset of T and Lemma 4.5 is proved in this case. □ □

Thus we may assume that $K = 1$ or $K = \lambda(X)$. We consider these cases separately, investigating the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}}$ and the group $Y^{\mathscr{P}} \cong Y/K$.

Lemma 4.10. *Assume* (4) *holds. If $K = 1$ then the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds.*

Proof. Suppose that $K = 1$. Then $Y \cong Y^{\mathscr{P}}$, a primitive group of degree p which by Lemma 2.15 is affine or almost simple and 2-transitive. If $Y^{\mathscr{P}} \cong Y$ is affine of degree p, then $Y \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$ and so $\rho(T) \triangleleft Y$ and the $\rho(T)$-orbits are blocks of imprimitivity for Y in $V\Gamma$, by Lemma 2.16(i), whence the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds. Thus we may suppose that Y is almost simple with socle L and $Y^{\mathscr{P}}$ is 2-transitive with $L^{\mathscr{P}} \cong L$ as in Table 2.

Since $Y^{\mathscr{P}}$ is 2-transitive, the quotient graph $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}} \cong K_p$. Let $B \in \mathscr{P}$ and $\alpha \in B$. Now $L^{\mathscr{P}}$ is transitive, and if L is not transitive on VΓ then its orbits are blocks of imprimitivity for Y of size p (by Lemma 2.16(i)) and as before the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds. Thus we may assume that L is transitive on VΓ, so $L_\alpha < L_B < L$, and $|L_B : L_\alpha| = q$. Since $q \geq 3$ and $q|(p-1)$, we have $p \geq 7$ and $q \leq (p-1)/2$. We consider separately each line of Table 2. Note that, by Lemma 4.4, it is sufficient to prove either that Y has a block of imprimitivity of size p, or that L_B has no subgroup of index q.

If $L = A_p$ with $p \geq 7$, then $L_B = A_{p-1}$ has no subgroup of index less than $p-1$. If $L = \mathrm{PSL}(2,11)$ or M_{11}, with $p = 11$, then $q = 5$, so $\Gamma = \Gamma(55, \ell, i)$, with $\ell = 2$ or 5 and $i = 1$ or 2. Using GAP we construct each graph and verify that none has an almost simple automorphism group. If $L = M_{23}$ then $q = 11$ and $L_B = M_{22}$, which has no subgroups of index 11 (see [3, page 39]).

Thus $L = \mathrm{PSL}(n,r)$, with $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$ and n prime, and $r = r_0^f$ with r_0 prime. First note that $n \geq 3$, for if $n = 2$ then $p = r+1$ and so $p-1 = r$ is even and so is a power of 2, and hence not divisible by q since $q \geq 3$.

Before seeking the subgroup L_α if index q in L_B we obtain some further parameter restrictions. The subgroup $\rho(T)$, being cyclic of prime order $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$, is a Singer cycle of T, is self-centralising, and $N_Y(\rho(T)) \leqslant \rho(T).\mathbb{Z}_n.\mathbb{Z}_f$, so $|N_Y(\rho(T)) : \rho(T)|$ divides nf (see [9, Satz 7.3]). Since $\iota(H) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell$ normalises $\rho(T)$ it follows that ℓ divides nf and that $\operatorname{val}\Gamma \leq 2nf$. Moreover, since $\rho(T)$ is self-centralising, T does not contain $\lambda(X)$ and so, by Lemma 3.12, $q \nmid \ell$. Now the number of Γ-edges with one vertex in B is $|B| \operatorname{val}\Gamma \leq 2nfq$. On the other hand since $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}} = K_p$, this number is at least $p-1$, and hence

$$p - 1 \leq 2nfq. \tag{5}$$

Now $L_B = R \rtimes M \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(n-1,r)$, where R is elementary abelian of order r^{n-1}, and $\mathrm{SL}(n-1,r) \leqslant M \leqslant \mathrm{GL}(n-1,r)$ with M of index $\gcd(n, r-1)$. The group L_B^B is transitive of prime degree q, and hence primitive. Suppose first that $R^B \neq 1$. Since R is a minimal normal subgroup of L_B, R acts faithfully and transitively on B, and since R is abelian it follows that R^B is regular and $q = r^{n-1}$, forcing $n = 2$ and a contradiction. Thus $R^B = 1$, and so $L_B^B = M^B$. Let $S = \mathrm{SL}(n-1,r) \leqslant M$. If $S^B = 1$ then L_B^B is cyclic of order dividing $|M : S|$, which divides $r-1$. Hence, by (5), $\frac{r(r^{n-1}-1)}{r-1} = p-1 \leq 2nf(r-1) < 2nr(r-1)$ which implies $n = 3$ (since n is prime) and so q divides $p-1 = r(r+1)$. Since also q divides $r-1$ it follows that $q = 2$, a contradiction.

Thus $S^B \neq 1$, so S^B is primitive of odd prime degree q. Suppose first that $(n,r) = (3,2)$ or $(3,3)$, so p is 7 or 13 respectively and $q = 3$ is the only odd prime dividing $p-1$. Since $q \nmid \ell$ we have only the following two cases: $(p,q,\ell,i) = (13,3,2,1), (13,3,4,1)$ (since we are assuming that $(p,q,\ell) \neq (7,3,2)$). It is easy to verify (say, in GAP) that the automorphism groups of these graphs are as in Theorem 4.1, and in particular Y has a block of imprimitivity of size p so Lemma 4.5 holds. Thus we may assume that S is perfect and hence S^B has $\mathrm{PSL}(n-1,r)$ as a compisition factor. In particular S^B is an insoluble primitive group of prime degree q and so by Lemma 2.15, $S^B \cong \mathrm{PSL}(n-1,r)$ and either $q = \frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1}$, or $(n,r,q) = (3,11,11), (3,5,5)$ or $(3,4,5)$. In the last case $p = 1+4+16 = 21$ is not prime. In the previous two cases $Y = \mathrm{PSL}(3,r)$ does not contain a Frobenius group G_{pq}. Thus $q = \frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1}$. Since q is prime, also $n-1$ is prime, and since n is prime this implies $n = 3$. Then $p = 1+r+r^2$ and $q = 1+r$. If $r = 2$ we have the case excluded in Lemma 4.5. If $r > 2$ then q prime forces $r = 2^a$ with a even, which implies that $p = 1+r+r^2$ is divisible by 3, a contradiction. □ □

Finally we consider the case $K = \lambda(X)$.

Lemma 4.11. *Assume* (4) *holds. If $K = \lambda(X)$ then the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds.*

Proof. Suppose $K = \lambda(X)$. Then Y/K acts faithfully on the partition $\mathscr{P}$, and so Y/K is a transitive group of degree p, and so by Lemma 2.15, is either affine or 2-transitive and almost simple.

If Y/K is affine, then $Y^{\mathscr{P}} \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1,p)$, and so $\rho(T).K \lhd Y$. Since $\rho(T)$ centralises $K = \lambda(X)$, $\rho(T)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\rho(T)K$ and hence $\rho(T) \lhd Y$. By Lemma 2.16, the $\rho(T)$-orbits in G are blocks of imprimitivity, and the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds. Thus we may assume that Y/K is almost simple with socle as in Table 2.

Let $K < L \leqslant Y$ be such that $L/K = \mathrm{Soc}(Y/K)$. We consider the derived group $L' \trianglelefteq L$. Since K has prime order, either $K \subseteq L'$ or $K \cap L' = 1$.

Case 1: $K \cap L' = 1$:
In this case, K and L' are normal subgroups which intersect trivially, and $L = L' \times K$. If L' is intransitive then its orbits are blocks of size p, and the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds by Lemma 4.4. So we may assume that L' is transitive. The

argument in the proof of Lemma 4.10 shows that $L' = \mathrm{PSL}(n,r)$ with n an odd prime and $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$. This time we have that $N_Y(\rho(T)) \leqslant (\lambda(X) \times \rho(T)).\mathbb{Z}_n.\mathbb{Z}_f$. So here we have that ℓ divides nfq (instead of nf).

Since $Y^{\mathscr{P}}$ is 2-transitive, the quotient $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}} \cong K_p$. Moreover since $\mathscr{P}$ is the set of $\lambda(X)$-orbits there is a constant c such that each vertex in B is joined to c vertices in each of the blocks distinct from B. Thus there are exactly $qc(p-1)$ edges of Γ with one vertex in B. On the other hand this number is $|B| \operatorname{val}\Gamma = 2q\ell \leq 2q^2nf$, and so again the inequality (5) holds: $p - 1 \leq 2nfq$.

Now the rest of the argument in the proof of Lemma 4.10 applies, ruling out all parameter values except possibly $\mathrm{PSL}(3,r)$ with $q = 3$ and $(r,p) = (2,7)$ or $(3,13)$, for every ℓ dividing $p-1$ with $q \mid \ell$, and by assumption, $\ell < p-1$. This leaves only the parameters $(p,q,\ell,i) = (7,3,3,1), (13,3,3,1), (13,3,6,1)$. A computer check of these graphs confirms that the conclusion of Lemma 4.5 holds in all cases.

Case 2: $K \subseteq L'$.
If $K \subseteq L'$ then L is a perfect central extension of L/K, and so (see [7, Chapter 5.1]), K is a subgroup of the Schur multiplier of L/K. Table 2 displays the Schur multipliers of the 2-transitive simple groups of prime degree: since q is an odd prime, we eliminate each case with a Schur multiplier of size less than 3. We are left with only two possibilities: A_7 and $\mathrm{PSL}(n,r)$. In the former case we have $p = 7$, implying that $q = \ell = 3$. Then the only parameter sets possible are $(7,3,2,1), (7,3,3,1)$. The former yields the unique primitive example of Proposition 4.3, and the second is ruled out by computer search (as above in Case 1). In the latter case we have $\mathrm{PSL}(n,r)$, with $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$, in which case the Schur multiplier is cyclic of order $\gcd(r-1,n)$. Thus $q \mid r-1$ and $q \mid n$, and hence $p = 1 + r + \cdots + r^{n-1} \equiv n \equiv 0 \pmod{q}$, but this implies $q \mid p$, which is a contradiction. □ □

The proof of Lemma 4.5 now follows from Lemmas 4.8, 4.9, 4.10 and 4.11.

4.2. **Blocks of size p.** By Lemma 4.5, the partition $\mathscr{P} = \{Tg \mid g \in G\}$ is Y-invariant. Since by (2) $(z^i)^H \subseteq z^iT$, the set $S \cap z^jT$ has order ℓ or 0, for any j. We dealt with the case $\ell = p-1$ in Lemma 3.9, and so we assume $\ell < p-1$. Recall that we also assume $(p,q,\ell) \neq (7,3,2)$.

Lemma 4.12. *The quotient graph Γ_T is K_2 if $q = 2$ and C_q if q is odd, and $Y^{\mathscr{P}}$ is $\mathbb{Z}_2$ or a subgroup of D_{2q} containing $\mathbb{Z}_q$ respectively.*

Proof. If $q = 2$ then $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}} = K_2$ and $Y^{\mathscr{P}} \cong \mathbb{Z}_2$, so assume that q is odd. Then $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}} = \mathrm{Cay}(G/T, ST/T)$, and $|ST/T| = |((z^i)^HT)/T| + |((z^{-i})^HT)/T|$. Since $\iota(H)$ fixes the cosets of T setwise, we have $((z^i)^HT)/T = \{z^iT\}$, and so $|ST/T| = 2$. So since Γ_T is connected, it is a cycle. □ □

Lemma 4.13. *One of the following holds:*

(i) *The kernel $K = Y_{(\mathscr{P})}$ is $\rho(T).\iota(H)$ with $\rho(T) \lhd Y$;*
(ii) *$(p,q,\ell,i) = (7,2,3,1), Y = \mathrm{PGL}(3,2).2$ and Γ is the incidence graph of $\mathrm{PG}(2,2)$;*
(iii) *$(p,q,\ell,i) = (11,2,5,1), Y = \mathrm{PGL}(2,11)$ and Γ is the incidence graph of the $(11,5,2)$-biplane; or*

(iv) $(p, q, \ell, i) = (73, 2, 9, 1), Y = \mathrm{P\Gamma L}(3, 8).2$ *and* Γ *is the incidence graph of* $\mathrm{PG}(2, 8)$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.7(i), $\iota(H)$ fixes each coset of T setwise, and so $\iota(H) \leqslant K$. Also, since $T \triangleleft G$ it follows that $\rho(T)$ fixes each coset setwise, so $\rho(T) \leqslant K$. Thus it suffices to prove that either $|K| \leqslant p\ell$, or one of cases (ii)-(iv) holds.

Claim: $K \cong K^T$.
Let T' be a block adjacent to T in $\Gamma_{\mathscr{P}}$. The pointwise stabiliser $K_{(T)}$ is normal in K, and so $K_{(T)}^{T'} \triangleleft K^{T'}$, which is primitive (being transitive of prime degree). By Lemma 2.16, $K^{T'}$ is transitive or trivial. However $S \cap T'$ is fixed setwise by $K_{(T)}$, and $|S \cap T'| \leq \ell < p$ so transitivity is impossible. Thus $K_{(T)}$ fixes T' pointwise. Since Γ is connected, we can repeat the same argument to show that $K_{(T)}$ acts trivially on every block, and so $K_{(T)} = 1$, and hence $K \cong K^T$.

Since $\rho(T) \leqslant K$, K is transitive on each block of prime degree p, so by Lemma 2.15, this action is affine or 2-transitive and almost simple, and is given in Table 2. Assume first that the latter holds. Now each almost simple 2-transitive group has at most 2 inequivalent actions (see [1, Table 7.4]), and so if $q \geq 3$ then there exist at least two blocks on which K acts equivalently, and by Corollary 2.18 the actions of K on all blocks are equivalent.

If $q = 2$ and the action of K on the two blocks T and Tz are inequivalent, then the only possibilities are $Y \leqslant \mathrm{PGL}(2, 11)$ with $p = 11$, or $\mathrm{PSL}(n, r) \leqslant Y \leqslant \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{PSL}(n, r))$ with $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$ and n an odd prime. The former case can be checked by a GAP calculation, or by hand, for both $\ell = 2, 5$: the graph $\Gamma(22, 5, 1)$ is the incidence graph of the $(11, 5, 2)$-biplane and $Y = \mathrm{PGL}(2, 11)$, so part (iii) holds; and $K = \rho(T).\iota(H)$ holds for $\Gamma(22, 2, 1) \cong C_{22}$.

In the latter case, Y_1 has orbits in Tz of sizes $\frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1}$ and r^{n-1} and hence ℓ is one of these integers. Since ℓ divides $p-1$, we have $\ell = \frac{r^{n-1}-1}{r-1}$. However in this case a cycle of length $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$ in Y is a Singer cycle and the normaliser $N_Y(\rho(T))$ has size $2pnf$, where $r = r_0^f$ with r_o prime. So the stabiliser $(N)Y(\rho(T)))_1$ has size nf. Since this subgroup contains $\iota(H)$, it follows that ℓ divides nf. There are only two possible choices of parameters (r_0, f, n) satisfying this constraint along with the constraint that $p = \frac{r^n-1}{r-1}$ is prime, namely $(r_0, , f, n) = (2, 1, 3), (2, 3, 3)$. These sets produce the exceptional graphs $\Gamma(14, 3, 1)$ and $\Gamma(146, 9, 1)$, namely the incidence graphs of the Fano plane $\mathrm{PG}(2, 2)$ and of $\mathrm{PG}(2, 8)$ respectively with $Y = \mathrm{P\Gamma L}(3, r).\mathbb{Z}_2$ so that part (iii) or (iv) holds respectively. Assume now that none of parts (ii)-(iv) holds. Then (for all q) the K-actions on all blocks are equivalent. We now have that K_1 fixes a unique point $\alpha \in T'$. The set $T' \cap S$ of size ℓ, where $1 < \ell < p - 1$, is fixed setwise by K_1 and so $K_1 = K_\alpha$ is not transitive on $T' \setminus \{\alpha\}$. So K^T is not 2-transitive, which is a contradiction.

This completes consideration of the case where K^T is insoluble. Suppose now that $K^T \leqslant \mathrm{AGL}(1, p)$. Since K^T is affine, all K-actions on blocks are equivalent and the stabiliser in K^T of two points is trivial. Thus K_1 fixes a point $\alpha \in T'$, and $T \cap \Gamma(\alpha)$ is fixed setwise by K_1. Choose β in this set: then the orbit-stabiliser

theorem gives $|\beta^{K_1}||K_{(1,\beta)}| = |K_1|$. But as $|\beta^{K_1}| \leq \ell$, we have $|K_1| \leq \ell$, and so $|K| \leq p\ell$ as required. □ □

Proof of Theorem 4.1. The four exceptional parameter sets are covered by Proposition 4.3 and Lemma 4.13, so we may assume that $(p, q, \ell, i) \neq (7, 3, 2, 1), (7, 2, 3, 1), (11, 2, 5, 1), (73, 2, 9, 1)$. If $\ell = p - 1$ the result follows from Lemma 3.9 so we may assume that $1 < \ell < p-1$. Then by Lemma 4.5, the cosets of T form a Y-invariant partition $\mathscr{P}$ of $V\Gamma$, and by Lemmas 4.12 and 4.13, $Y^{\mathscr{P}} \cong T/(\rho(T)\iota(H))$ is $\mathbb{Z}_q$ or D_{2q}. Thus $\rho(G)\iota(H)$ has index at most 2 in Y and hence is normal in Y.

Suppose first that $q \nmid \ell$. Now $\rho(G)$ is characteristic in $\rho(G).\iota(H)$, as it is the unique Hall (p, q)-subgroup (since neither p nor q divides ℓ), and hence $\rho(G) \triangleleft Y$, so Y is contained in the holomorph $\mathrm{Hol}(G) = \rho(G).\mathrm{Aut}(G)$ of G. If Y^{Γ_T} were dihedral there would be an automorphism that fixes T and swaps the cosets z^jT and $z^{-j}T$; but no such automorphism of G exists (see Lemma 3.6), and so $Y = \rho(G).\iota(H)$ in this case.

Now suppose that $q|\ell$. By Lemma 3.12(iii), Γ is a normal edge-transitive Cayley graph for the abelian group $\rho(T) \times \lambda(X)$. The map $\sigma : x \mapsto x^{-1}$ is an automorphism of L since L is abelian, and fixes $\Gamma(1)$ setwise. So σ is an automorphism of Γ, (in fact, it is in the normaliser $N_Y(\rho(L))$), but is not contained in $\rho(G)\iota(H)$ as it swaps the cosets Tz^i and Tz^{-i} and fixes the subgroup T. So $\rho(G)\iota(H)$ has index 2 in Y and so $Y = \rho(G)\iota(H).\mathbb{Z}_2$. □ □

References

[1] Cameron, P.J.: Permutation groups, *London Mathematical Society Student Texts*, vol. 45. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1999)

[2] Chao, C.y.: On the classification of symmetric graphs with a prime number of vertices. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society pp. 247–256 (1971)

[3] Conway, J.H., Curtis, R.T., Norton, S.P., Parker, R.A., Wilson, R.A.: Atlas of finite groups: maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups. Oxford University Press, Oxford (1985)

[4] Dixon, J.D., Mortimer, B.: Permutation groups, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, vol. 163. Springer-Verlag, New York (1996)

[5] D.S. Malik John N. Mordeson, M.S.: Fundamentals of Abstract Algebra. International Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics. McGraw-Hill, New York (1997)

[6] The GAP Group: GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4.10 (2007). URL `\verb+(http://www.gap-system.org)+`

[7] Gorenstein, D., Lyons, R., Solomon, R.: The classification of the finite simple groups, number 3 part i, chapter a: Almost simple k-groups. Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **40** (1998)

[8] Houlis, P.C.: Quotients of normal edge-transitive cayley graphs. Master's thesis, University of Western Australia (1998)

[9] Huppert, B.: Endliche Gruppen: Vol.: 1. Springer-Verlag (1967)

[10] Karpilovsky, G.: The schur multiplier. Oxford University Press, Inc. (1987)

[11] McKay, B.: The Nauty package for GAP, Version 2.2 (1992). URL `(\verb+(http://cs.anu.edu.au/bdm/nauty)+`

[12] Praeger, C.E.: Finite normal edge-transitive cayley graphs. Bulletin of the Australian Mathematical Society **60**(2), 207–220 (1999)

[13] Praeger, C.E., Xu, M.Y.: Vertex-primitive graphs of order a product of two distinct primes. Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B **59**(2), 245–266 (1993)

[14] Soicher, L.: The GRAPE package for GAP, Version 4.3 (2006). URL `\verb+(http://www.maths.qmul.ac.uk/~leonard/grape/)+`

[15] Wielandt, H., Bercov, R.: Finite permutation groups, vol. 10. Academic Press New York (1964)

Brian P. Corr, Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation,
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
E-mail address: brian.p.corr@gmail.com

Cheryl E. Praeger, Centre for Mathematics of Symmetry and Computation,
School of Mathematics and Statistics,
The University of Western Australia, Crawley, WA 6009, Australia
Also affiliated with King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia
E-mail address: Cheryl.Praeger@uwa.edu.au